

Remarks

The above Amendments and these Remarks are in reply to the Office Action mailed January 14, 2008. Currently, claims 63-84 are pending. Applicant has amended claims 63-67, 69-73, and 78 and withdrawn claims 1-62, 68 and 85-105. Applicant respectfully request reconsideration of claims 63-67, 69-84.

I. Summary of Examiner's Objections

Claims 78 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claims 63-84 were reject under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,868,370 (hereinafter "*Burbridge*").

II. Summary of the Amendments

Claims 1-62, 68, and 85-105 have been withdrawn.
Claims 63-67, 69-73, and 78 have been amended.

III. Restriction Requirement

Examiner has requested restriction to of the four groups of claims, the groups comprising:

- Group I: claims 1-29;
- Group II: claims 30-62;
- Group III: claims 63 - 84; and
- Groups IV: claims 85-105.

During a phone conversation with Attorney Larry Vierra on April 2, 2007, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute claims 63-84 of Group III, with traverse. Applicant(s) affirm election of Group III, with traverse.

IV. Rejection under 35 USC §112

Examiner has rejected claim 78 under 36 USC 112, second paragraph, indicating that the term "instantly" in claim 78 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite.

Applicant has amended claim 78 to indicate that "each of said toolsets receives information modified by users."

Applicant submits that the amendment overcomes the rejection and that the rejection be withdrawn.

V. Rejection under 35 USC §102 over *Burbridge* - Claim 63-67, 69-73

Examiner has rejected claims 63-67 and claims 69-84 under 35 USC §102 over *Burbridge*.

Claims 63-67, 69-73, and 78

Applicant has amended claims 63-67, 69-73, 78 as such that they overcome the objections presented by the Examiner.

Applicant submits that the amendments overcome the rejections and that the rejections be withdrawn.

Claims 74-77, 79-84

Based on the amendments made to claim 73 above, applicant claims that

1 dependent claims 74-77, and 79-84 are now patentable over cited art.

2 Applicant submits that based on the amendments above, the rejections made by
3 Examiner be withdrawn.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

JUL 21 2008

Conclusion

Based on the above amendments and these remarks, reconsideration of Claims 63-67, and 69-84 is respectfully requested.

The Examiner's prompt attention to this matter is greatly appreciated. Should further questions remain, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone.

Enclosed is a REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.114 for consideration of this response to the Final Office Action mailed on January 14th, 2008.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 504489 for any matter in connection with response that may be required.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: July 21, 2008

By:

Juan P. Rodriguez
Reg. No. 58499

TRIRIGA INC.
6700 Via Austi Parkway
Las Vegas, NV 89119
Telephone: (702) 932-2409
Facsimile: (702) 932-2417